

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/446,875	03/23/2000	HUBERTUS E.M. STASSEN	B758.312-1	3019
75	590 07/28/2004		EXAMINER	
KINNEY & LANGE			RIDLEY, BASIA ANNA	
	& LANGE BUILDING HIRD STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 554151002			1764	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	— — ,			
No Constant and a second	09/446,875	STASSEN ET	AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Basia Ridley	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>		ddress			
This application is abandoned in view of:						
This application is abandoned in view of.						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note period for reply (including a total extension of time of the proposed reply was received on, but it does to proposed reply was received on, but it does to propose the proposed reply was received on, but it does to propose the proposed reply was received on, but it does to propose the proposed reply was received on, but it does to propose the proposed reply was received on, but it does to propose the proposed reply was received on, but it does to propose the proposed reply was received on, but it does to propose the proposed reply was received on, but it does to propose the proposed reply was received on, but it does to propose the proposed reply was received on, but it does the proposed reply was received on, but it does the proposed reply was received on, but it does the proposed reply was received on, but it does the proposed reply was received on, but it does the proposed reply was received on, but it does the proposed reply was received on, but it does the proposed reply was received on	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	•				
, , , .						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 25 cm.	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constit final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper re	ply, to the non-			
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was, which is after the expiration of the statutory p	85). s received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or T	ransmission dated			
Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due					
		CFR 1 18(d) is \$	-			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
(o) The loads los and publication lost, if applicable, has the	0.200.1.1000.1.00.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire	interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity ι	under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		se the period for se	eking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:						
		JERRY D. PRIMARY GROU	JOHNSON EXAMINER JP 1100			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term.	aw the holding of abandonment under 37					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of	Paper No. 072304			
•			•			